

Comparison of Methods Used to Detect Insulin Resistance in Overweight and Obese Children Katie Houmes MD¹; Randa Kutob MD, MPH¹; Chris Ussery, MS²; Kathylynn Saboda, MS³, Scott Going, PhD^{2;} Denise Roe DrPH³, Craig Stump MD, PhD^{4,5}; Melanie Hingle, PhD, MPH, RD²

Introduction

Rates of childhood obesity and subsequent metabolic complications have increased throughout the United States. It is important that parameters for identifying metabolic complications used once only in adult populations now be standardized for children as well. Insulin resistance (IR) is an important risk factor for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) and cardiovascular disease. By identifying IR before evidence of abnormal glucose levels, we may be able to intervene earlier and prevent beta-cell dysfunction and type 2 diabetes. The objective of this study is to compare surrogate methods of IR assessment by comparing the sensitivity and specificity of fasting insulin, fasting glucose, and triglyceride/HDL ratio in identifying IR in the pediatric population.



Methods

Children, aged 9-12 years-old, were recruited to participate in a family-based community diabetes prevention trial. Inclusion criteria were body mass index (BMI) $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$ percentile for age and sex, and \geq 1 T2D risk factor (e.g., family history of T2D, ethnic minority, etc.). Baseline fasting blood glucose, insulin, triglycerides, and HDL-c were collected from 28 participants and used for the analysis. Using a calculated Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA)-IR with a cut off value \geq 2.5 to define presence or absence of insulin resistance, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated and compared for fasting insulin, glucose, and triglyceride/HDL.

Results

28 children were included in the study. The average age (mean ±standard deviation) was 10.8 ± 1.2 years. Twenty (71%) were Hispanic. Twenty-four (85.7%) had weight \geq 95th percentile BMI for age. Twenty-two (78.6%) were found to have a HOMA-IR value ≥ 2.5 . ROC curves analysis showed the greatest sensitivity and specificity for fasting insulin with area under the curve of 0.989 (95 % Confidence Interval 0.956, 1.000.)

Correlations

		HOMAIR	Glucose
HOMAIR	Pearson Correlation	1	.391
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.040
	Ν	28	28
Glucose	Pearson Correlation	.391	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.040	
	Ν	28	28

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

		HOMAIR	Insulin
HOMAIR	Pearson Correlation	1	.993
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	Ν	28	28
Insulin	Pearson Correlation	.993**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	28	28

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations				
		HOMAIR	TRIHDL	
HOMAIR	Pearson Correlation	1	.487	
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.009	
	N	28	28	
TRIHDL	Pearson Correlation	.487**	1	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.009		
Figures and	d data analysis prepared by	Randa Kutoba	28	

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).



Conclusions

Multiple studies in adults and children have identified HOMA-IR as the best non-invasive method of identifying IR. The downfall in using HOMA-IR in the clinical setting is the additional time in calculating the value plus the additional glucose testing. Fasting insulin with cut off point of 12 µIU/mI maybe a good alternative option to identify IR and is superior to fasting glucose and Triglyceride/HDL ratio. Further, the traditional fasting glucose value of \geq 100 mg/dl misses many children with IR. The limitations of the study are that it is small and targeting Hispanic overweight youth 9-12 which limits the generalizability of our results.



I. Cristina, M., Jose, F., Arlete, M., Insulin Resistance in Obese Children and Adolescents, Journal of Pediatrics, 2014; 90(6); 600-607 2. Conwell LS, Trost SG, Brown WJ, BaDiabetology and Metabolic Syndrome. tch JA. Indexes of insulin resistance and secretion in obese hildren and adolescents. Diabetes Care. 2004:27:314 –319

3. García Cuartero B, García Lacalle C, Jiménez Lobo C, González Vergaz A, Calvo Rey C, Alcázar Villar MJ, et al. The HOMA and QUICKI indexes, and insulin and C-peptide levels in healthy chil- dren. Cut off points to identify metabolic syndrome in healthy children. An Pediatr Barc). 2007:66:481-90.

Review. Rev Paul Pediatria. 2016; 34(2): 234–242

6. Mehmet, K., Selim, K., Mustafa, K., Emra, A., Cevat, Y., Homeostasis Model Assessment Is More Reliable Than the Fasting Glucose/Insulin Ratio and Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index for Assessing Insulin Resistance Among Obese Children and Adolescents. Pediatrics. 2005: 10.1542/peds.2004-1921

7. Pepiles, J., et al. Percentiles of Fasting Serum Insulin, Glucose, HbA1c and HOMA-IR in Pre-Pubertal Normal Weight European Children From the IDEFICS Cohort. International Journal of Obesity. 2014: 38, 539-547 8. Sharma, S., Lustig, R., Fleming, S. Identifying Metabolic Syndrome in African Americal Children Using Fasting HOMA-IR in Place of Glucose. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2011; 8: 3-A64 9.Wallace, T., Levy, J., Matthews, D., Use and Abuse of HOMA Modeling. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27:1487–1495 10. Yin, J., et al., Insulin Resistance Determined by Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) and Associations With Metabolic Syndomre Among Chinese Children and Teenagers. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome. 2013; 5-71.

Acknowledgments

This project was mentored by Randa Kutob, whose help is acknowledged with great appreciation. Funding support for EPIC Kids Diabetes Prevention Study provided by the National Institute Of Diabetes And Digestive And Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R21DK100805 is greatly appreciated

Department of Family and Community Medicine Healthy Families in Healthy Communities



4. Giannini, C., et al. The Triglyceride-to-HDL Cholesterol Ratio. Diabetes Care. 2011; 34:1869-1874

5. Izabel, M. et al. Identification of Cutoff Points for Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance Index in Adolescents: Systematic